BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2011-173-E - ORDER NO. 2011-468

JULY 1, 2011

IN RE:	Mary Hester Williams, Complainant/Petitioner)	ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT
	V.)	
	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC,)	
	Defendant/Respondent)	

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") on Motion to Dismiss the Complaint of Mary Hester Williams filed by Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("Duke") on May 13, 2011. The Motion to Dismiss argues, among other things, that the Complaint fails to provide a concise and cogent statement of the facts as required by 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-824(A)(3). As described by the Motion to Dismiss, Ms. Williams' Complaint has handwritten interlineations and references throughout to newspaper articles, federal regulations, and other documentation without explanation as to the relevancy of such information. The Complaint also attaches approximately eleven pages of brochures, public notices, and other documents dealing with tax withholding and a fax to the Employment Security Commission.

Duke asserts that Ms. Williams' Complaint is not pled with any reasonable specificity or supporting facts, and that none of the information provided or attached to the Complaint has any relevance under the Commission's jurisdiction over electric service to retail customers.

As for a response to the Motion, Ms. Williams has failed to respond to Duke

within the ten (10) days allowed by 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-829, leaving the

Motion to Dismiss unopposed. After due consideration, it is therefore ordered that

Duke's Motion to Dismiss the Complaint of Ms. Williams is granted. However, we

request that Duke continue to provide Ms. Williams with information on how she may

obtain financial assistance for her electric bills.

This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the

Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

ATTEST:

David A. Wright, Vice Chairman

(SEAL)